Published online 2017 November 30.

Research Article

Quality of Life and Related Factors Among Patients Discharged from Critical Care Units

Azita Zaheri, ¹ Zohre Sadat, ² Mohamad Abasian, ³ Leila Ghanbari Afra, ⁴ Mohamad Abdi, ^{5,*} Koroosh Joodaki, ⁶ and Masoumeh Sadat Mousavi Sarcheshmeh ⁷

¹Msc in critical care nursing, Faculty of paramedical , shahrekord university of medical sciences, shahrekord, Iran

Received 2017 September 24; Revised 2017 November 04; Accepted 2017 November 12.

Abstract

Background: Quality of life is considered as the sense of well-being and life satisfaction. The healthcare professionals' final mission is health amelioration to increase the patients' life quality. The aim of the present study was to examine the effect of different factors on the quality of life in patients discharged from critical care units.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was performed in 325 patients admitted to critical care units of Kashan Shahid Beheshti hospital using a convenience sampling method in 2015. Data were gathered one month after patients' discharge through a demographic questionnaire, the quality of life standardized ShortForm-36 (SF-36) questionnaire, and the post-traumatic stress disorder checklist (PCL). The obtained data were analyzed using statistical tests such as t-test and (one-way) ANOVA test.

Results: The enrolled sample consisted of 185 (56.9%) males and 140 (43.1%) females with a mean age of 54 ± 16.15 . The mean total score of life quality in the study sample was 54.32 ± 27.52 and the total score of PCL was 43.99 ± 19.94 . The mean score of the life quality was lower among patients with features including over 60 years, unemployed, a long-term hospitalization, more than five children, drug abuse, using mechanical ventilation, and post-traumatic stress disorder; however, the mean score was higher in employed and highly educated people. This analysis indicated no relationship between quality of life and patient's gender, type of critical care unit, marital status, and admission for trauma reason.

Conclusion: The mean score of the quality of life of patients discharged from intensive care units is low. Some of the individual and medical features such as age, drug abuse, a long-term hospitalization, using mechanical ventilation, and post-traumatic stress disorder are risk factors that decrease the quality of life. Nurses can identify and control the risk factors in intensive care units.

Keywords: Quality of Life, Related Factors, Critical Care Unit, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)

1. Background

The quality of life is the sense of well-being and life satisfaction. The healthcare professionals' final mission is health amelioration to increase the quality of patients' life. As there is a mutual relationship between disease and quality of life, physical and mental disorders have a direct impact on the quality of life (1). The different severity of psychological and physical symptoms on the quality of life in terms of patients' condition is obvious. Patients, who suffer from critical conditions and are catered in intensive care units (ICUs), have more sensitive conditions than other patients (2, 3).

Nowadays, as technology and medical equipment have extensively been advanced, the rate of patients' death has reduced. However, patients discharged from critical care

units may experience different psychological and physical complications (4, 5). Previous studies have shown that those patients who are catered in intensive care units may possibly be involved in severe psychological and physical problems due to aggressive medical interventions and the use of protective devices such as Ventilators, Dialysis, and Electroshock. Hospitalization in an intensive care unit can cause dangerous complications in vital organs such as organ dysfunction, kidney or renal failure, and heart-cardiovascular diseases. Sometimes improving physical and psychological complications takes several years (6-8).

One of the most prevalent psychological disorders is post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) which is an acute anxiety disorder, and it is a critical condition of past traumatic events (9, 10). PTSD is known as a cause of disability that can lead to psychological problems, physical dis-

²Trauma Nursing Research Center, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, IR Iran

³PhD student in Nursing, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran

⁴Msc of critical care nursing ,Educational supervisor, kamkar-arabnia sciences.Qom, Iran

⁵Department of Intensive Care, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran

⁶ Instructor, Faculty of Medicine, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran

⁷Register Nurse Ayatollah Mousavi Hospital, Zanjan, Iran

^{*}Corresponding author: Mohamad Abdi, Department of Intensive Care, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran. E-mail: m.abdi252@gmail.com

ability, and an increase in the risk of heart disease and vascular diseases. Thus, physical and psychological disabilities resulted from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) reduce the quality of life in patients discharged from intensive care units (11, 12).

According to Dowdy et al. (2005), in comparison with other people, patients discharged from intensive care units have a low quality of life (13). It is clear to see that the weakness in doing routine activities declines the quality of life of patients discharged from intensive care units in comparison with patients hospitalized in medical and surgical units (14). A reduction in the quality of life of these patients can cause disorders in their social-individual life and sexual relation or cause impotency, disappointment, fear, neurological disorders, malnutrition, and a sense of possible death (15-17). A reduction in the quality of life may affect physical, economic, social, psychological, and family scopes that can drive patients and society to economic damage (15, 18, 19).

Cuthbertson et al. (2005) have reported that the severity of disease and experiencing aggressive events during the hospitalization period are connected with the reduction of the quality of life in patients discharged from intensive care units (20). In 2013, Vainiola et al. voiced the idea that the quality of life in patients who need to undergo surgical procedures is lower than in other patients. Whereas, Tereran et al. (2012) reported that there is no significant association between the patients' life quality, type of critical care unit, and their diagnosis as traumatically and nontraumatically (21).

It can be noted that other related factors may include the period of hospitalization, the severity of disease, mechanical ventilation, and natural history and underlying diseases. Some of the individual factors can decline the quality of life, as well. Fildissis et al. conducted a retrospective study in 2007 on 242 patients in Athena and founded that there was a significant relationship between the quality of life and gender so that males had a lower life quality than females (22). However, Oeyen et al. (2010) did not report any noticeable relationship between the quality of patients' life and gender (23). According to Oeyen et al.'s research, high education, appropriate occupation, race, and geographical situation increased the quality of life; however, Granja and Carr believed that the mentioned factors are irrelevant (24, 25).

Different studies have revealed that the quality of life is affected by health-medical care professionals' interventions (26, 27). In the medical group, nurses play a remarkable role in intensive care. They can improve the results of medical treatment by identifying and controlling the risk factors and thus, they serve as a significant aid in increasing the quality of patients' life. The critical role of

nurses is to promote QOL by improving care during and after discharge (28). Considering the available differences in the related factors and performing little research in this scope, the authors attempted to investigate the quality of patients' life discharged from intensive care units of Shahid Beheshti hospital.

2. Methods

This cross-sectional analysis was conducted on hospitalized patients in the post cardiac surgery unit (PCSU), intensive care unit (ICU), and cardiac care unit (CCU) at Shahid Beheshti hospital. The convenience sampling method was selected to recruit patients from July to November 2014. To determine the sample size, the standard deviation of life quality (δ = 34) used in the Cuthbertson's research was applied (20). Regarding the equation

$$n = \frac{\delta^2 z^2}{d^2} \tag{1}$$

z = 1.96, and d = 0.04, the sample size was estimated at 310 subjects that was increased to 342 subjects considering a 10% attrition rate.

The inclusion criteria included the hospitalization period more than 24 hours in the intensive care unit, accepting participation in this research, living in Kashan one month after being discharged from the hospital, being conscious, having physical ability to cooperate in filling out a questionnaire one month after discharge, and not having mental diseases. Moreover, the exclusion criteria consisted of failure to access the patient one month after discharge, being unconscious one month after discharge, and refusing to participate in the study process.

This study was approved by the ethics committee of Medical Faculty with research code 9365. After achieving patients' informed consent, observing ethical issues based on the Helsinki declaration, and considering the inclusion criteria to the study, the questionnaires were completed. The questionnaires included a demographic questionnaire, the SF-36 quality of life questionnaire, and the post-traumatic disorder checklist (PCL). The demographic questionnaire and clinical information included age, gender, occupation, marital status, number of children, education, the period of hospitalization daily, type of critical care unit, being hospitalized due to trauma, mechanical ventilation, history of drug abuse, and history of the underlying disease. These questionnaires were filled out by interviewing the patients or their companies in case of patients' failure in responding or by referring to the patients' medical records in their hospitalization period at the hospital.

As researchers urged patients to fill out SF-36 and PCL questionnaires at the hospital one month after discharge,

their phone number was taken to remind them. In case of failure in presenting at the hospital after one month, the researchers or a trained college visited patients in order to fill out the SF-36 quality of life questionnaire by them after taking permissions, while the questionnaires were completed through interviews if the patients were illiterate.

Quality of life questionnaire short form health survey (SF-36) is a standard questionnaire that surveys and measures patients health and their quality of life. This questionnaire contains 36 questions in 8 sections to measure two dimensions including physical dimension (general health perceptions, physical role functioning, physical functioning, and bodily pain), and psychic dimension (social role functioning, emotional role functioning, mental health, energy and vitality). The score of each question ranges 0 - 100 so that a zero score refers to the maximum disability and a 100 score to no disability. To calculate the scores of each section, the sum of the questions' scores was divided by their number (29, 30). The validity and reliability of this questionnaire in an Iranian population were approved in another study, in 2014. Alpha coefficients were reported as 0.90, 0.85, 0.71, 0.65, 0.77, 0.84, and 0.77, respectively, that indicated a good internal consistency of these dimensions (31).

PCL questionnaire is a valid and reliable measurement for determining post-traumatic stress disorders. The Persian version of PCL was previously validated by Goudarzi et al., showing appropriate psychometric properties. However, we reassessed the reliability of PCL through the splithalf method and its Cronbach's alpha was 0.87 (31, 32). This measurement has 17 questions in 3 sections. The first section consists of 5 questions related to the signs and symptoms of re-experiencing traumatic events. The second section refers to seven questions on the signs and symptoms of emotional numbing and avoidance. The third section comprises 5 questions to measure the signs and symptoms of severe hyper-arousal. Each question is scored from 1 to 5, so that the maximum and minimum scores are 85 and 17, respectively. The score 45 or above is intended to screen the presence of PTSD in patients who suffer from a traumatic event; however, the score 45 or less shows no PTSD (33).

Data were inserted into SPSS version 16 software. Then, their normality was measured using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. A t-test was used to assess factors related to the life quality which have considered in two cases (gender, age, marital status, number of children, education, type of hospitalization units, hospitalization due to trauma, drug abuse, post-traumatic stress disorder). Moreover, ANOVA was applied to measure variables related to the life quality (the period of hospitalization and occupation).

3. Results

According to the exclusion criteria, 17 subjects were excluded and the research was conducted in 325 out of 342 subjects. The participated subjects included 185 (56.9%) males and 140 (43.1%) females with a mean age of 54 \pm 16.15 years. The total mean score of life quality in the study population was 54.32 \pm 27. The maximum mean score was related to the mental health (61.42 \pm 27.26) and social role functioning dimensions (61.60 \pm 31.74), and the minimum mean score was related to physical health (51.73 \pm 27.43). The life quality in different dimensions and its relationship with participants' other characteristics are presented in Tables 1 and 2. There was an inverse relationship between the mean score of life quality and age, unemployment, disability, the prolonged hospitalization period, the number of children, drug abuse, mechanical ventilation, and posttraumatic stress disorder. In addition, there was a direct relationship between the mean score of life quality and employment, education and literacy. There was no noticeable relationship between life quality and patients' gender, type of critical care unit, marital status, and hospitalization cause. What is more, the total score of life quality and its dimensions in patients who suffered from PTSD and those who did not have PTSD were assayed as shown in Table 3.

Table 1. Dimensions of SF-36 HRQL Scores Among the Groups in This Study

| SF-36 Health Dimension | Mean Score | Standard Deviation |
|----------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| Physical health | 40.79 | 18.50 |
| Physical functioning | 51.73 | 27.43 |
| Physical role functioning | 47.65 | 42.46 |
| Bodily pain | 56.52 | 31.99 |
| General health perceptions | 52.68 | 24.25 |
| Psychological health | 58.44 | 30.57 |
| Mental health | 61.41 | 27.26 |
| Energy and vitality | 56.44 | 32.30 |
| Emotional role functioning | 54.30 | 41.03 |
| Social role functioning | 61.60 | 31.74 |
| The mean total score | 54.32 | 27.52 |

4. Discussion

This study investigated the patients discharged from critical care units based on the scaled scores of short form health survey (SF-36) and its relationship with the relevant factors. The minimum mean score of life quality was devoted to physical problems that is in agreement with the

findings of Cuthbertson (2010) and Kyale studies (2003). However, Kinley (2016), Elliott (2006), and Qafari et al. (2014) reported that the minimum score was related to the energy and vitality dimension. In addition, it should be noted that their study was not parallel with this paper (1, 34-36).

In the present research, the maximum score was related to the social functional dimension. Kinley reported mental health as the dimension with the highest mean score, which is parallel with this paper. However, according to Cuthbertson, the highest score was devoted to the limited scope of the emotional role functioning dimension. In contrast, Kvale reported that the maximum score was related to mental health. Studies conducted by Cuthbertson, Kvale, and Kinley were limited to ICU whereas the present study assayed CCU and PCSU. In the three mentioned studies, samples were selected using cluster sampling while the convenience-sampling technique was used in this study.

The mean score of life quality in terms of physical dimensions was less than that of psychological dimensions. Hence, physical dimensions hampered the patients' life quality. According to Wiseman and Myhren, patients hospitalized in intensive care units suffered from mental diseases such as PTSD 64%, anxiety 40%, depression 30%, and severe stress 45%. However, the present study proved that the reduction in life quality was highly influenced by physical problems, in spite of the high presence of mental problems (36, 37).

The data analysis revealed that age, occupation, the period of hospitalization, number of children, drug abuse, mechanical ventilation, and post-traumatic stress disorder declined life quality. Obviously, there is often an inverse relationship between life quality and age so that an increase in age will decrease patients' quality of life (P < 0.001). In 2001, Stoll et al. reported that aging would reduce the quality of life (38). Tabah et al. found in 2010 that life quality in old patients hospitalized in intensive care units is similar to that of old people in the public population (39). However, in both studies mentioned above, the sample size was not large (the Stoll's study selected 80 and Tabah's study selected 115 subjects). Moreover, the participants' average age in Tabah's paper was about 84 years greater than in Stoll's paper (66 years in CABG, and 61.5 in AVR), while the participants' mean age in the present study was about 54 vears.

The participants included 140 females and 185 males, but there was no significant relationship between life quality and gender (P = 0.811). In 2010, Oeyen et al. did not figure out a considerable association between life quality and gender. However, Fildissis et al. revealed that males had a lower life quality (P = 0.002). Fildissis' study included

just patients undergoing open-heart surgery and used a questionnaire that was not similar to the present study questionnaire; in addition, Fildissis's analysis was done six months after discharge. By assessing these studies, it is revealed that the relationship between life quality and gender in cross-sectional studies is different from that in longitudinal studies. In cross-sectional studies, females experienced lower life quality than males, while longitudinal studies have shown no difference between genders (22, 23).

The mean score of quality of life in patients undergoing and not undergoing mechanical ventilation was also measured. The results showed the life quality of patients undergoing mechanical ventilation was low (P < 0.001). In Taiwan, Toffart et al., Douglass, and Zilberberg reported mechanical ventilation as a risk factor for reducing patients' quality of life (40-42). The sample size in these studies was low and their studies were limited to ICUs. Toffart and Zilberberg's studies emphasized on an increase in the period of using a ventilator (over 96 hours) so that patients experienced devastating physical and psychological outcomes after using mechanical ventilation for 24 - 48 hours (43). Thus, all patients influenced by mechanical ventilation more than 24 hours have been compared with those who have not been influenced by mechanical ventilation.

In this paper, there was no significant relationship between the life quality of patients discharged from the intensive care unit and type of critical care unit and diagnosis (in terms of having trauma or not having trauma). Toein et al.'s study conducted in 2012 is parallel with this research, whereas Tereran's study is not (21, 44). In Tereran's study, patients hospitalized in ICU were assessed based on internal, surgical, and general conditions, while they were not checked out based on having trauma or not having trauma, as well as the devastating mechanism. Moreover, the study was not included CCU and PCSD. Toein preferred to compare traumatic patients in ICU and non-traumatic patients with public population rather than to compare intensive unit patients with each other (48).

The period of hospitalization had an inverse relationship with life quality so that patients with prolonged hospitalization had a lower life quality (P < 0.001). According to the Ong et al.'s prospective study (2009), it is reported that increasing the period of hospitalization reduces life quality (45). Regarding Tereran et al.'s study (2012), no significant relationship was found between life quality and the period of hospitalization (21). Both studies were limited to ICU.

The life quality in two groups with PTSD and without PTSD was analyzed, and the results revealed a lower score in all dimensions of life quality. Whereas, there was no between-group difference in the energy and vitality dimension (P = 0.06) and emotional role functioning (P = 0.06)

0.07) in Kapfhammer et al.'s research (46). However, according to the Deja et al.'s study, there was a significant difference in all life quality dimensions between the two mentioned groups (P < 0.001) (19). This difference may be related to the different methodologies of the research. Han and Deja's study was limited to ICU, while other units such as CCU and CVS were not considered.

In summary, life quality is influenced by risk factors, including age, occupation, number of children, education, hospitalization period, drug abuse, mechanical ventilation, and aggressive events, as well as several mental and physical diseases during the hospitalization period. It seems that determining risk factors and preventing posttraumatic stress disorder by critical care nurses may increase the life quality. It is recommended that training programs and care patterns be planned for hospital professionals namely nurses, doctors, and other staff in order to improve patients' life quality, and the effects of these programs be assessed to select better patterns. Moreover, various training programs such as consulting, physical, and psychological protection after discharge should be provided for patients and their companions and they need to learn about protecting and insurance networks. In addition, the life quality of patients should be studied in different periods in further research, after performing necessary cares.

4.1. Limitation

To conduct this study, there were some limitations including the short time interval between checking patients and the discharge (one month), failure in studying the initial life quality of patients during the hospitalization period, failure in studying patients' condition during 6 months, one and five years, and failure in having intensive care units specific for burn patients in Shahid Beheshti hospital in Kashan.

Acknowledgments

The authors are deeply thankful to the research deputy of Kashan University of Medical Sciences and the administrators of Kashan faculty of nursing and midwifery. In addition, the authors are grateful to all patients who participated in this research and deeply thankful to all nurses and physicians in the critical care units of Kashan Shahid Beheshti hospital.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Mohamad Abdi and Zohre Sadat planned the study, prepared the first draft, and critically

revised the manuscript. Zohre Sadat supervised the study and conducted the data analysis. Mohamad Abdi involved in data collection. Leila Ghanbari Afra contributed to the study conception and design, supervised the study, and helped in critical revision of the manuscript.

Funding/Support: This study was granted by the research deputy of Kashan University of Medical Sciences with the grant number of 9365.

References

- McKinley S, Fien M, Elliott R, Elliott D. Health-Related Quality of Life and Associated Factors in Intensive Care Unit Survivors 6 Months After Discharge. Am J Crit Care. 2016;25(1):52–8. doi:10.4037/ajcc2016995. [PubMed: 26724295].
- Gosselin É, Bourgault P, Lavoie S, Gélinas C. Nursing interventions to prevent psychological consequences in intubated and conscious patients. Canadian J Crit Care Nurs. 2017;28(2).
- McGiffin JN, Galatzer-Levy IR, Bonanno GA. Is the intensive care unit traumatic? What we know and don't know about the intensive care unit and posttraumatic stress responses. *Rehabil Psychol*. 2016;61(2):120–31. doi:10.1037/rep0000073. [PubMed: 27196855].
- Wade D, Page V. Long-Term Mental Health After ICU, Let's Go Through the Looking Glass. Crit Care Med. 2016;44(10):1934-5. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000000002043. [PubMed: 27635483].
- Olsen KD, Nester M, Hansen BS. Evaluating the past to improve the future - A qualitative study of ICU patients' experiences. *Intensive Crit Care Nurs*. 2017;43:61-7. doi: 10.1016/j.iccn.2017.06.008. [PubMed: 28899584].
- Choi J, Tate JA, Rogers MA, Donahoe MP, Hoffman LA. Depressive symptoms and anxiety in intensive care unit (ICU) survivors after ICU discharge. Heart Lung. 2016;45(2):140–6. doi: 10.1016/j.hrtlng.2015.12.002. [PubMed: 26791248].
- Choi J, Donahoe MP, Hoffman LA. Psychological and Physical Health in Family Caregivers of Intensive Care Unit Survivors: Current Knowledge and Future Research Strategies. J Korean Acad Nurs. 2016;46(2):159–67. doi: 10.4040/jkan.2016.46.2.159. [PubMed: 27182013].
- Berlin A. Goals of Care and End of Life in the ICU. Surg Clin North Am. 2017;97(6):1275-90. doi: 10.1016/j.suc.2017.07.005. [PubMed: 29132509].
- Lee RY, Engelberg RA, Curtis J, Hough CTL, Kross EK. Post-ICU PTSD Symptoms in Family Members of ARDS Survivors Are Associated With Family-Specific, Not Patient-Specific, Characteristics. American Thoracic Society 2017 International Conference. A22 health services highlights in critical care; 2017.
- Ryu JH, Kredentser MS, Bienvenu OJ, Blouw M, Sareen J, Olafson K. Posttraumatic stress disorder in survivors of critical illness. Comprehensive guide to post-traumatic stress disorders. 2016. p. 263–80.
- Reisman M. PTSD Treatment for Veterans: What's Working, What's New, and What's Next. PT. 2016;41(10):623-34. [PubMed: 27757001].
- Dowdy DW, Eid MP, Sedrakyan A, Mendez-Tellez PA, Pronovost PJ, Herridge MS, et al. Quality of life in adult survivors of critical illness: a systematic review of the literature. *Intensive Care Med*. 2005;31(5):611-20. doi: 10.1007/s00134-005-2592-6. [PubMed: 15803303].
- Rivera-Fernandez R, Sanchez-Cruz JJ, Abizanda-Campos R, Vazquez-Mata G. Quality of life before intensive care unit admission and its influence on resource utilization and mortality rate. Crit Care

- *Med.* 2001;**29**(9):1701–9. doi: 10.1097/00003246-200109000-00008. [PubMed: 11546968].
- Koopmans M, Vermei L, van Wieren A, Bruins N, de Jager CM, Christiaan Boerma E. Improvement in functional abilities at ICU discharge is feasible without prolongation of the length of stay ICU. *Intensive Care Med.* 2017;43(2):273-4. doi: 10.1007/s00134-016-4565-3. [PubMed: 27686351].
- Vainiola T, Roine RP, Suojaranta-Ylinen R, Vento A, Sintonen H. Can factors related to mortality be used to predict the follow-up healthrelated quality of life (HRQoL) in cardiac surgery patients?. *Inten*sive Crit Care Nurs. 2013;29(6):337-43. doi: 10.1016/j.iccn.2013.04.003. [PubMed: 23669053].
- Mainz J. Defining and classifying clinical indicators for quality improvement. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2003;15(6):523–30. doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzg081. [PubMed: 14660535].
- Davidson JE, Harvey MA, Schuller J, Black G. Post-intensive care syndrome: What it is and how to help prevent it. Am Nurse Today. 2013;8(5):32-8.
- Guler E, Schmid JP, Wiedemar L, Saner H, Schnyder U, von Kanel R. Clinical diagnosis of posttraumatic stress disorder after myocardial infarction. *Clin Cardiol*. 2009;32(3):125–9. doi: 10.1002/clc.20384. [PubMed: 19301284].
- Cuthbertson BH, Scott J, Strachan M, Kilonzo M, Vale L. Quality of life before and after intensive care. *Anaesthesia*. 2005;60(4):332–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2044.2004.04109.x. [PubMed: 15766335].
- Tereran NP, Zanei SS, Whitaker IY. Quality of life before admission to the intensive care unit. Rev Bras Ter Intensiva. 2012;24(4):341-6. doi: 10.1590/S0103-507X2012000400008. [PubMed: 23917930].
- Fildissis G, Zidianakis V, Tsigou E, Koulenti D, Katostaras T, Economou A, et al. Quality of life outcome of critical care survivors eighteen months after discharge from intensive care. Croat Med J. 2007;48(6):814-21. doi: 10.3325/cmj.2007.6.814. [PubMed: 18074416].
- Oeyen SG, Vandijck DM, Benoit DD, Annemans L, Decruyenaere JM. Quality of life after intensive care: a systematic review of the literature. Crit Care Med. 2010;38(12):2386–400. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181f3dec5. [PubMed: 20838335].
- Granja C, Lopes A, Moreira S, Dias C, Costa-Pereira A, Carneiro A, et al. Patients' recollections of experiences in the intensive care unit may affect their quality of life. Crit Care. 2005;9(2):R96–109. doi: 10.1186/cc3026. [PubMed: 15774056].
- Carr AJ, Gibson B, Robinson PG. Measuring quality of life: Is quality of life determined by expectations or experience?. *BMJ*. 2001;322(7296):1240–3. doi: 10.1136/bmj.322.7296.1240. [PubMed: 11358783].
- Sarvimaki A, Stenbock-Hult B. Quality of life in old age described as a sense of well-being, meaning and value. J Adv Nurs. 2000;32(4):1025– 33. [PubMed: 11095244].
- Stanhope M. Case studies in community health nursing practice: A problem-based learning approach. Mosby Incorporated; 1999.
- Noome M, Beneken Genaamd Kolmer DM, van Leeuwen E, Dijkstra BM, Vloet LC. The nursing role during end-of-life care in the intensive care unit related to the interaction between patient, family and professional: an integrative review. Scand J Caring Sci. 2016;30(4):645–61. doi: 10.1111/scs.12315. [PubMed: 26991253].
- Najafi M, Sheikhvatan M, Montazeri A, Abbasi SH, Sheikhfatollahi M. Quality of life in coronary artery disease: SF-36 compared to WHOQOL-BREF. The Journal of Tehran University Heart Center. 2008;3(2):101-6.
- Montazeri AG, Vahdaninia M. Translation, reliability and validity of Persian standard tool sf-36. Quarterlypayesh. J Iran Inst Health Sci Res. 2005;5(1):45–56.

- 31. Narimani M, Yosefi F, Kazemi R. The role of attachment styles and quality of life in predicting psychological well-being in adolescents with learning disabilities [In Persian]. *J Learn Diabet*. 2014.
- Goudarzi MA. Based on validation and validity of the mississippi scale for posttraumatic stress 1382 [In Persian]. J Psychol. 2003;2(7):153-77.
- Blanchard EB, Jones-Alexander J, Buckley TC, Forneris CA. Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist (PCL). Behav Res Ther. 1996;34(8):669-73. doi: 10.1016/0005-7967(96)00033-2. [PubMed: 8870294].
- 34. Elliott D, Lazarus R, Leeder SR. Proxy respondents reliably assessed the quality of life of elective cardiac surgery patients. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2006;**59**(2):153–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2005.06.010. [PubMed: 16426950].
- 35. Ghafari R, Rafiei M, Taheri Nejad MR. Assessment of health related quality of life by SF-36 Version 2 in general population of Qom city [In Persian]. *Arak Med Univ J.* 2014;**16**(11):63–72.
- 36. Wiseman TA, Curtis K, Lam M, Foster K. Incidence of depression, anxiety and stress following traumatic injury: a longitudinal study. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2015;23:29. doi: 10.1186/s13049-015-0109-z. [PubMed: 25882818].
- Myhren H, Ekeberg O, Toien K, Karlsson S, Stokland O. Posttraumatic stress, anxiety and depression symptoms in patients during the first year post intensive care unit discharge. *Crit Care*. 2010;14(1):R14. doi: 10.1186/cc8870. [PubMed: 20144193].
- Stoll C, Schelling G, Goetz AE, Kilger E, Bayer A, Kapfhammer HP, et al. Health-related quality of life and post-traumatic stress disorder in patients after cardiac surgery and intensive care treatment. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2000;120(3):505–12. doi: 10.1067/mtc.2000.108162. [PubMed: 10962412].
- Tabah A, Philippart F, Timsit JF, Willems V, Francais A, Leplege A, et al. Quality of life in patients aged 80 or over after ICU discharge. Crit Care. 2010;14(1):R2. doi: 10.1186/cc8231. [PubMed: 20064197].
- Toffart AC, Timsit JF. Is prolonged mechanical ventilation of cancer patients futile?. Crit Care. 2013;17(5):189. doi: 10.1186/cc13014. [PubMed: 24053905].
- 41. Douglas SL, Daly BJ, Gordon N, Brennan PF. Survival and quality of life: short-term versus long-term ventilator patients. *Crit Care Med.* 2002;**30**(12):2655–62. doi: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000034688.55283.68. [PubMed: 12483055].
- Zilberberg M, Raut M, Luippold R, Sulsky . Prolonged mechanical ventilation, hospital resource utilization and mortality in a us cohort. *Crit Care Med*. 2006;34:126. doi: 10.1097/00003246-200612002-00434.
- 43. Jones C, Backman C, Capuzzo M, Flaatten H, Rylander C, Griffiths RD. Precipitants of post-traumatic stress disorder following intensive care: a hypothesis generating study of diversity in care. *Intensive Care Med.* 2007;33(6):978–85. doi: 10.1007/s00134-007-0600-8. [PubMed: 17384929].
- 44. Toien K, Bredal IS, Skogstad L, Myhren H, Ekeberg O. Health related quality of life in trauma patients. Data from a one-year follow up study compared with the general population. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2011;**19**:22. doi: 10.1186/1757-7241-19-22. [PubMed: 21477280].
- Ong AW, Omert LA, Vido D, Goodman BM, Protetch J, Rodriguez A, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of trauma patients with ICU lengths of stay 30 days and greater: a seven-year retrospective study. Crit Care. 2009;13(5):R154. doi: 10.1186/cc8054. [PubMed: 19778422].
- Kapfhammer HP, Rothenhausler HB, Krauseneck T, Stoll C, Schelling G. Posttraumatic stress disorder and health-related quality of life in long-term survivors of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Psychiatry. 2004;161(1):45–52. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.161.1.45. [PubMed: 14702249].

 $\textbf{Table 2.} \ Association \ Between \ Demographic \ and \ Clinical \ Characteristics \ and \ Health-Related \ Quality \ of \ Life$

| Factors | No. | % | Scores of L | Scores of Life Quality | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-------|-------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | Mean | SD | |
| Gender | 185 | 56.9 | 54.64 | 25.99 | 0.811 ^a |
| Male | 140 | 43.1 | 53.90 | 29.51 | |
| Female | | | | | |
| Age, y | | | | | < 0.001 ^a |
| Less than 60 years old | 199 | 61.2 | 62.24 | 26.88 | |
| 60 years or older | 126 | 38.8 | 41.82 | 23.69 | |
| Occupation | | | | | < 0.001 ^a |
| Technical and simple worker | 109 | 33.53 | 52.36 | 27.24 | |
| Employee | 99 | 30.47 | 76.89 | 21.08 | |
| Unemployed and Housekeeper | 91 | 28 | 34.97 | 16.53 | |
| Retired | 26 | 8 | 44.38 | 19.66\ | |
| Marital status | | | | | 0.990 ^a |
| Single | 20 | 6.1 | 44.47 | 24.52 | |
| Married | 305 | 93.9 | 54.97 | 27.62 | |
| Sibling | | | | | < 0.001 ^a |
| < 5 | 220 | 67.7 | 60.89 | 27.82 | |
| ≥ 5 | 105 | 32.3 | 40.37 | 20.97 | |
| Education level | | | | | < 0.001 ^a |
| Elementary | 152 | 46.7 | 35.08 | 17.72 | |
| ≥ High school | 173 | 53.3 | 71.31 | 23.28 | |
| Hospital stay per day, days | | | | | < 0.001 ^b |
| 5 | 153 | 47.08 | 71.18 | 26.34 | |
| 5 - 10 | 99 | 30.46 | 42.02 | 19.46 | |
| 10 - 15 | 45 | 13.84 | 35.56 | 16.56 | |
| More than 15 | 28 | 8.62 | 35.87 | 16.04 | |
| Type of critical care unit | | | | | 0.216 ^a |
| ICU (ICU + PCSU) | 150 | 46.15 | 56.37 | 29.03 | |
| ccu | 175 | 53.85 | 52.57 | 26.11 | |
| Admission for trauma reason | | | | | 0.747 ^a |
| Yes | 17 | 5.23 | 52.22 | 31.06 | |
| No | 308 | 94.77 | 54.44 | 27.37 | |
| Mechanical ventilation | | | | | < 0.001 ^a |
| Yes | 109 | 46.38 | 37.66 | 27.51 | |
| No | 216 | 53.62 | 62.73 | 18.56 | |
| Drug abuse | | | | | < 0.001 ^a |
| Yes | 39 | 12 | 37.24 | 13.45 | |
| No | 286 | 88 | 56.65 | 28.14 | |
| Post-traumatic stress disorder | | | | | < 0.001 ^a |
| Yes | 154 | 47.3 | 33.59 | 15.59 | |
| No | 171 | 52.7 | 73.23 | 21.90 | |

Table 3. Comparison of Life Quality in Patients Suffering from PTSD and Those Who Did Not Have PTSD

| PTSD | Y | Yes (N = 154) | | No (N = 171) | |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|---------|
| The quality of life | Mean Score | Standard Deviation | Mean Score | Standard Deviation | |
| Physical health | 27.19 | 11.60 | 53.18 | 14.49 | < 0.001 |
| Physical functioning | 34.87 | 24.73 | 67.10 | 19.67 | < 0.001 |
| Physical role functioning | 18.95 | 25.85 | 73.82 | 37.46 | < 0.001 |
| Bodily pain dimension | 35.22 | 20.36 | 75.85 | 28.20 | < 0.001 |
| General health perceptions | 35.49 | 19.46 | 68.35 | 18.93 | < 0.001 |
| Psychological health | 35.92 | 17.78 | 78.96 | 24.81 | < 0.001 |
| Mental health | 41.23 | 13.76 | 79.80 | 23.21 | < 0.001 |
| Energy and vitality dimension | 34.38 | 17.98 | 76.55 | 29.21 | < 0.001 |
| Emotional role functioning | 25.59 | 33.29 | 80.49 | 33.03 | < 0.001 |
| Social role functioning | 42.50 | 23.83 | 79.02 | 27.83 | < 0.001 |
| The total life quality | 33.59 | 15.59 | 73.23 | 21.90 | < 0.001 |

^aIndependent t-test.