Factors affecting triage decision-making from the viewpoints of emergency department staff in Tabriz hospitals
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ABSTRACT

Aims: Triage is the most important measures in the emergency department. Accurate triage decision has a significant impact on patients’ outcomes. The aim of the present study was to investigate the factors influencing triage decision making in the emergency departments.

Methods: All physicians (N=22) and nurses (N=135) in 18 emergency departments of Tabriz hospitals participated in this descriptive study. For data collection a questionnaire was used that assessed staff and non-staff related factors (including patients and emergency department related factors) that influencing triage decision making. Social-Demographic and professional information of staff was assessed by a checklist. Data analysis was performed by SPSS statistical software and descriptive statistics.

Results: The most important factors in staff related factors were experience and skill in non-staff factors related to patient vital signs and type of injury; and in non-staff factors related to ward were overcrowding and probability of injury to the patient. Also, it was found that the basis of decision-taking regarding staff and non-staff factors related to patient was correct, but this basis regarding none-staff factors related to the ward was incorrect.

Conclusions: The results showed that the quality of triage decision-making among staff working in emergency departments can be improved by enhancing the quality of clinical wards’ structure.
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1. Introduction

Triage is an essential aspect in taking care of the patients referring to emergency departments (EDs) and it is among the initial actions, which is done during the patient’s entrance to the EDs [1,2]. Triage can be considered as the process of assessment, decision-making and giving priority to the patients’ problems for providing treatment cares that this prioritization is done based on patients’ clinical situation [1,3,4].
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Actually correct decision-making of triage is the basis of prioritization and providing emergency cares and has an important effect on patients’ treatment outcomes [5, 6]. It should be considered that totally, nowadays correct decision-making is counted as an important part of nursing cares, but considering the sensitivity and criticality of the patients’ conditions the importance of this decision-making in emergency conditions is double [7].

So triage decision-making is a complex clinical decision-making because often this decision-making is done in unclear conditions and by having incomplete and ambiguous information and also is done with the limitation of time and place [5, 7, 8]. Triage decision-making is done based on the signs and symptoms of the patients and this decision-making is not equal to the medical diagnosis. Triage nurses are responsible for rapid diagnosis of threatening situations and potentially threatening of the life and doing rapid actions regarding resolving these conditions. Triage nurses in order to do correct triage should be able to diagnose useful clues among all the available information and they should be able to have correct triage decision-making based on these clues [5, 9].

There were some studies in other countries about decision-making process and the factors effecting on triage decision-making. Results of these studies show that doing correct triage need to having a high level of cognitive and meta-cognitive processes knowledge and also having skill, expertise, competence, qualification and readiness for triage decision-making is very important [3, 7, 10]. Also other texts add it that having adequate work experience, awareness of diseases signs and symptoms and adequate equipment and sources play and important role in triage correct decision-making. Also time is one of the most important effective factors on decision-making and for doing effective triage, necessary information should be collected rapidly and decision-making should be done in time [11-13].

Also other studies have reported that several individual and contextual factors (related to the ward and patient) play a role in triage decision-making in EDs that it can be pointed to internal factors such as; fear of making mistake in dangerous situations, insight, clinical qualification and nurses’ abilities and external factors such as; stress of work environment, high workload and the ward crowdedness [7, 11, 12, 14].

As a result, it can be said that triage correct decision-making depends on triage nurse’s competence, experience and function and having these qualities in composition with external appropriate conditions of the work environment can lead to triage correct decision-making and it can be effective on patients’ treatment outcomes potentially [15, 16].

In broad searching of the contexts there was no study, which assesses the situation of triage existence in Iran considering effective factors on triage decision-making by treatment staff. On the other side, such information for decision-making about doing intervention that promote triage quality is important and essential. So the aim of this study is assessing factors effecting triage decision-making in EDs.

2. Methods

This descriptive study had been done in 18 state and private hospitals of Tabriz. The study population included all the physicians responsible for emergency and nurses working in EDs of the mentioned hospitals. Inclusion criteria of these people were having at least one year experience of working in ED and having nursing bachelor and associate degree for all the nurses. Regarding doctors all of them were general practitioner. It should be mentioned that number of all the staff having the study criteria in all the state and private hospitals of Tabriz were 179 people (25 physicians and 154 nurses).

Due to the low number of the samples, all of these people participated in the study via convenient sampling method. It should be mentioned that at the end of the study 157 staffs’ data (22 physicians and 135 nurses) were collected.

In this study, considering the aims of the study, questionnaire had been used for collecting data. This questionnaire included two parts. The first part studied staffs’ social-demographic and professional features. The second part also studied effective factors on triage decision-making. It should be mentioned that two parts of this questionnaire that studied non-personnel factors related to the patients and clinical unit were extracted from the previous study [8].

Regarding personnel factors, considering lack of tools similar to this questionnaire, were designed according to the previous similar study by the researchers [13]. The designed questionnaire
Factors affecting triage decision-making from the viewpoints of emergency ward staff in … Dadashzaeh A. et al.

The study showed that tors related to the patients (10 items), non-personnel factors related to the patients (10 items) and no-personnel factors related to emergency department (9 items).

Regarding personnel and non-personnel factors related to the patients participating in the study, decuple factors of triage decision-making were ranked according to one to ten. In these cases one was for the most important factor and 10 was for the least important factor. Regarding non-personnel factors related to the ward, participants could choose one or two cases and based on this, it is determine from every one of the multiple factors that how many of the personnel chose this factors as the factors affecting triage decision-making. Validity of the designed questionnaire was determined by ten nursing and emergency medicine academic staff and necessary changes had been done in the questionnaire according to their views. Then questionnaire reliability was determined through re-test method and by repeating questionnaire completion within a week distance by ten emergency nurses that correlation coefficient was more than (p<0.001) 0.87 considering all three parts of the questionnaire. In order to study internal consistency of different parts of the questionnaire Chronbach’s alpha method was used that alpha coefficient was more than 0.75.

In order to do the study at first research project was determined by regional research committee in the research center of Tabriz Medical Sciences University. Then sampling permission was taken from the hospitals related authorities. Then considering every ED researchers chose the personnel that had the necessary criteria of the study and they referred to these personnel in different shifts. After explaining the aims of the study and the method of the work, informed consent was taken from all the staff. Then it was asked from the staff to take the questionnaire to the house and after completing it, to give it to the researcher in the next shift. In the case of not completing the questionnaire, the staff were called again and it was asked them again to complete the questionnaire. Data of most of the staff, which had the study criteria, was collected through this method.

Data were analyzed by using SPSS software (version 13). In order to describe the staffs’ social-demographic features and professional features, descriptive statistic including; number, percentage, mean and standard deviation were used. Also in order to study the percentage of choosing every one of the non-personnel factors choices related to the ward, descriptive statistic including number and percentage were used. In order to rank personnel and non-personnel factors related to the patients, descriptive statistic including mean of ranking and modewere used.

3. Results

Studying social-demographic features of the personnel, participating in the study showed that 56.7% of the participant was female; 76.5% had nursing BA. Degree, 73.4% had the experience of 1 to 5 years of working and 16.6% had the experience of 6 to 10 years of working in ED. 67 staffs of the study (42.6%) had the experience of working in triage role that 39 people (58.2%) of these personnel had experience of triage less than 2 years and 58 people (86.6%) of these personnel had the experience of triage less than 6 years. Also the mean age of the participants in the study was 32.7 years old (standard deviation=6.7 years) and 58.6% of them were 23 to 32 years old.

Ranking personnel factors effecting triage, from the personnel’s view participating in the study is in table 1. As it can be seen in this table. Experience and skill of studying have been the most important factors affecting personnel decision-making and insight and flexibility had less role in personnel decision-making.

Studying frequency and percentage of non-personnel factors related to clinical wards also

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personnel factors</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experience</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment skill</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being an expert</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power of decision-making</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizing skill</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passed educational courses</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acuity</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship method</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insight</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flexibility</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
showed that most of the treatment personnel considered ward’s crowdedness and the possibility of injury to the patient as the most important factors affecting triage decision-making in this regard and the least of them considered criteria, rules and funding less affecting their triage decision-making [table 2]. Ranking non-personnel factors related to patients are in table 3. As it can be seen in this table, vital signs and the kind of injury have been the most important factors related to patients affecting triage decision-making and disease history and patients’ gender have been the least important factors related

to patients affecting triage decision-making.

Table 2: Non-Personnel factors affecting triage decision making according to the personnel view of ED of Tabriz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inter-unit factors</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit crowdedness</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>59.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The possibility of injury to the patient</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work volume</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical team coverage</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing team coverage</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The possibility of risk to the personnel</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel team coverage</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules and criteria</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Ranking non-personnel factors affecting triage decision-making according to the personnel view of ED of Tabriz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors related to the patient</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vital signs</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kind of injury</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of the event</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage scale</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s behavior</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s appearance</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s history</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion
This is the first study which assesses factors affecting triage decision-making among Iranian healthcare personnel, working in EDs. One group of the factors affecting triage decision-making in the present study was personnel factors. Personnel of the study considered experience, skill of studying and being an expert as the most important personnel factors affecting their decision-making in emergency situations.

In this regard findings of the present study is in consistent with the existing texts regarding the important role of experience and skill in triage decision-making. Andersson et al. from Sweden showed in a research that having experience is one of the most important effective factors in triage decision-making among nurses [14]. In the study of Hicks et al. in America, it has been also reported that increase of experience increases decision-making stability in triage situation [17].

In the study of Cone and Murray from America experience and being an expert were reported as the most important factors affecting triage nurses’ decision-making [13]. Several studies show that nurses use their insight and perception in their triage decision-making more that it is directly due to their clinical experiences [7, 13, 18, and 19]. Totally, findings of the present study were in consistent with the other similar studies and emphasizes on the role of experience, skill, insight and acuity in triage decision-making.

Other factors affecting triage decision-making that were studied in this research were non-personnel factors related to clinical unit. It should be attended that factors related to unit are among factors affecting personnel decision-making in ED and so studying and diagnosing these factors are very important [20]. Results of the present study showed that the most important non-personnel factors affecting triage decision-making included; unit crowdedness, the possibility of injury to the patient and the personnel’s work volume, in this regard, results of the study of Fry and Burr from Australia confirmed findings of the present study and it has been reported that the possibility of injury to the patient, the possibility of injury to the personnel and the emergency unit crowdedness have been among the most important inter-unit factors affecting triage decision-making [8].

Similarly, findings of other study have shown that inter-unit factors such as; patients’ overcrowding, physical structure of available environment and
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Results of this study can be guide for correcting factors affecting triage decision-making and triage decision-making can be conducted in correct line through educational programs and using personnel who are experienced in triage and correction management structures of EDs. This study had been done in Tabriz EDs and it is nor renewable to the EDs of the hospitals of all the country, so it is suggested that in addition to doing similar study in the country, there should be some studies regarding relationship of experience and the education level of the personnel who do triage with the level of triage decision-making correctness in the EDs, and resources, facilities and physical environment of the EDs should be organized and planned in such a way that inter-unit factors have less effect on triage decision-making.

5. Conclusions
Personnel working in EDs have correct decision-making regarding personnel and non-personnel areas related to the patients, but regarding non-personnel area related to the unit they haven’t got any correct triage decision-making. It shows more attention to the equipment and human and material resources in EDs.
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